

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patest and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Menurity, Vegota 22313-1450

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION
WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE
CINCINNATI, OH 45224

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1751 DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004

THE CHICAGO OF THE PARTY OF

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OL-326 (Re	v. 11-03)	Office Action Summ	iary	Part of Paper No. 01092003
() Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawling Revie ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144	w (PTO-948) 9) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) elerit Application (PTO-152)
a) 14) \(\) A	nce a specific reference was ind CFR 1.78, The translation of the foreign cknowledgment is made of a da ference was included in the first	n language provisional	application has been rec	eived.
* S 13) 🗆 A	Acknowledgment is made of a c All by Some coll None 1. Certified copies of the pric 2. Certified copies of the pric 3. Copies of the certified cop application from the Inter ee the attached detailed Office a cknowledgment is made of a cla	of: prity documents have by prity documents have by prity documents have by prity documents of the call prity documents of the call prity for domestic priority	een received. een received in Applicati ments have been receive tule 17.2(a)). tule 18.11 S.C. 8.110(c)	on No Ind in this National Stage
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	1		
	Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) incl The oath or declaration is object	objection to the drawing(uding the correction is req	s) be held in abeyance. Sequired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a) includ to See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
9)[The specification is objected to I The drawing(s) filed on is	by the Examiner.		_
	ion Papers			
8)	Claim(s) is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to r	to. estriction and/or electio	n requirement.	
6)[🖂	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>11-13 and 15-26</u> is/are	rejected.		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 11-13 and 15-26 is/an 4a) Of the above claim(s)	e pending in the applica is/are withdrawn from	ition. consideration.	
Disposit	ion of Claims	Statute titles Ex parte	Quayre, 1955 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
3)[Since this application is in conclosed in accordance with the	tition for allowance exc	ent for formal matters, or	osecution as to the merits is
	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action i		
	Responsive to communications	's) filed on 00 October	2002	
- If th - If Ni - Fail - Any	and by more intermine making sales of the e period for reply a specified above is fees than 0 period for reply is specified above, the maxi- ure to reply within the set or extended period it reply received by the Office later than three n led patent term of justiment. See 37 CPR 1.70	thirty (30) days, a reply within the mem statutory period will apply a or reply will, by statute, cause the	na will expire Six (6) MONTHS from	in the making date of this communication.

Application No.

09/890.920

Preeti Kumar

Examiner

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM

Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.

Office Action Summary

THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

after SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication.

Period for Reply

AS

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

1751

FOLEY, PETER ROBERT

Application/Control Number: 09/890,920 Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Final Rejection

- Claims 11-13 and 15-26 are pending.
- Page 4 of Applicant's remarks have not been filed with the Request for Reconsideration filed on October 9th, 2003. Furthermore, examiner notes that an ENTIRE listing of the claims has not been filed; one listing the original, amended and canceled claims. Proper correspondence is necessary to avoid Non-Compliance issues in the future.
- The rejection of claims 11-13 and 15-26 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Boskamp (US 4.462,922) is maintained upon further consideration of the prior art and is explained below.
- 4. The rejection of claims 11-13 and 15-26 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vinson et al. (US 6,069,122) is maintained upon further consideration of the prior art and is explained below.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed October 9, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant urges that Boskamp does not teach an amine oxide containing residual hydrogen peroxide with an antioxidant to form a detergent premix. Also, Applicant urges that Boskamp teaches a detergent composition and not a process for making a detergent composition. Contrary to applicant's argument, Boskamp teaches a polyfunctional amino compound, with boric acid or an alkalimetalborate and

with an antioxidant to produce a synergistic enzyme-stabilizing effect, that is an effect which aumounts the sum-effect of each of the binary systems. It has been found that in the mixture of the invention the antioxidant must be present above a certain level, as well as the boric acid or the alkalimetalborate. The antioxidant should be present in the mixture in an amount of at least 5% by weight of the final enzymatic aqueous liquid detergent composition. See abstract and col.1, in.2-40. Specific polyfunctional amino compounds include diethanolamine, triethanolamine, idi-isopropanolamine, triethanolamine, idi-isopropanolamine, and tris(hydroxymethyl) aminomethane. See col.2, in.1-10.

Boskamp teaches the utility of amylases in detergent compositions and teaches that bacterial or fungal enzymes are preferred, such as bacterial amylases and proteases, and fungal cellulases. Although the liquid compositions of the present invention may have a near-neutral pH value, the present invention is of particular benefit for enzymatic liquid detergents with a pH of 7.5 or above. See col.2, in.65-70.

However, Boskamp is silent as to the order of the process steps in which the components are added to make the composition. However, the court has held that merely reversing or changing the order of the steps in a process does not impart patentability when no unexpected result is obtained. Ex Parte Rubin (POBA) 128 USPQ 440 Cohn V. Comr. Pats. (DCDC 1966) 251 FSUPP 378, 148 USPQ 486; 29 USPQ 493; 38 USPQ 181. Thus it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to formulate a liquid dishwashing detergent composition wherein the final composition comprises substantially no residual hydrogen peroxide with a reasonable expectation of success and similar results, because

Application/Control Number: 09/890,920 Art Unit: 1751

Boskamp suggests a enzymatic liquid detergent composition comprising an amine oxide, an antioxidant, a chelant, surfactant, and the other requisite limitations of the instant claims and further, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the percentages of said adjunct ingredients since Boskamp teaches varying amounts of active detergent material.

6. Applicant also urges that Vinson et al. do not teach the specific process steps of first producing a premix and then subsequently adding an amylase enzyme. However, Vinson et al. do provide motivation to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the composition free of hydrogen peroxide and further provide motivation to not allow the hydrogen peroxide to react with the enzyme. Please see col.3, In.57-col.4, In.35.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to formulate a detergent composition comprising an amine oxide and an antioxidant and adding an amylase enzyme in a second step because the teachings of Vinson et al. illustrate a liquid detergent composition comprising amine oxide, enzymes, and magnesium and calcium, in example II in col.29 and furthermore, Vinson et al. provide motivation to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the composition free of hydrogen peroxide and further provide motivation to not allow the hydrogen peroxide to react with the enzyme.

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Preeti Kumar whose telephone number is 571-272-1320. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am - 5:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by (elephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-872-9309.

> Preeti Kumar Examiner Art Unit 1751

PK